

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,612	09/06/2006	Toshinori Saiin	41185	7828
59054 PEARNE & GORDON LLP 1801 EAST 9TH STREET			EXAMINER	
			ZENATI, AMAL S	
SUITE 1200 CLEVELAND, OH 44114-3108			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			4183	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/25/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patdocket@pearne.com dchervenak@pearne.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/598.612 SAIIN ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit AMAL ZENATI 4183 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 September 2006. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/06/2006

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5 Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/598,612 Page 2

Art Unit: 4183

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objection

1. Claim1 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 2, claim 1 recites the limitation "each of speakers", it is objected since it is improper English. Therefore, the examiner suggests changing to "each of a plurality of speakers" or "each speaker." Applicant should also change the corresponding phrase in the rest of the claims. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter—which the applicant regards as his invention.

- Claim 1-5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 1 recites the limitation "notifies of the speaker." It is unclear who is the speaker that will be notified. The examiner assumes the user is the one who will be notified. For purposes of prior art rejection, Examiner will interpret "notifies of the speaker" as "notifies a user of the speaker". Also claim 1 recites the limitation "the speaker verifying Unit." There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It is also unclear whether the "speaker verifying unit" is the same as or different than "the speaker collating unit" which performs a verification process. Applicant should resolve this ambiguity.
- 5. Claim 2 recites the limitation "stores the voice of each of speakers so as to correspond to a telephone number." This limitation is subject to two interpretations; the first one is to store the voice for the plurality of speakers as correspond to one telephone number. The second one is to store the voice of each speaker as to correspond to a single telephone number. For purposes of prior art rejections, Examiner will adopt the second interpretation. Also, the same

Application/Control Number: 10/598,612 Page 3

Art Unit: 4183

correction should be made to the following "the speaker verifying unit verifies the voice of each of speakers corresponding to a telephone number of the call partner, with the voice of the call partner."

6. Claim 3 recites the limitation "stores the voice of the call partner as the voice of each of

speakers so as to correspond to the telephone number of the call partner"; This limitation is

subject to two interpretations; the first one is to store the voice of the call partner as a model for

all speakers that has one telephone number. The second one is to store the voice of the call

partner as a model for one speaker that corresponds to a signal telephone number. For

purposes of prior art rejections, Examiner will adopt the second interpretation. Applicant should

resolve this ambiguity and follow the corresponding correction to the rest of the claims.

7. Claim 4 recites the limitation "extracts a featured portion from the voice of the call

partner." It is unclear how a "featured portion" is defined. Therefore, Applicant should resolve

this ambiguity.

8. Claim 5 recites the limitation "calculates a likelihood of the featured" It is unclear how

calculates the likelihood of the featured portion. For purposes of prior art rejections, Examiner

will assume calculating the likelihood of the featured portion by *matching* the featured portion of

the voice of the call partner with the featured portion of the voice of each speaker's model.

Applicant should resolve this ambiguity.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 10/598,612 Art Unit: 4183

The following is appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections
under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 102 (b) as being anticipated by Epstein et al (US 6,327,343 B1; hereinafter Epstein).

Consider claim 1, Epstein clearly shows and discloses a telephone device, comprising: a storing unit configured to store a voice of each of speakers (col. 6, lines 65-67; col. 7, line 1); a speaker collating unit that verifies the voice of each of speakers with a voice of a call partner (col. 7, lines 14-21); and a notifying unit that notifies of the speaker who coincides with the voice of the call partner by the speaker verifying unit (col. 7, lines 22-26).

Consider claim 2, Epstein clearly shows the telephone device, wherein the storing unit stores the voice of each of speakers so as to correspond to a telephone number (col. 5, lines 52-58); and wherein the speaker verifying unit verifies the voice of each of speakers corresponding to a telephone number of the call partner, with the voice of he call partner (col. 7, lines 20-26).

Consider claim 3, Epstein clearly shows the telephone device, wherein the storing unit stores the voice of the call partner as the voice of each of speakers so as to correspond to the telephone number of the call partner (col. 5, lines 52-58).

Consider **claim 4**, **Epstein** clearly shows the telephone device, further comprising a voice analyzing unit that extracts a featured portion from the voice of the call partner; wherein the storing unit stores a featured portion of the voice of the call partner as a featured portion of the voice of each of speakers so as to correspond to the telephone number of the call partner

Application/Control Number: 10/598,612

Art Unit: 4183

(col. 8, lines 8-17); and wherein the speaker verifying unit verifies the featured portion of the voice of each of speakers corresponding to the telephone number of the call partner, with the featured portion of the voice of the call partner (col. 7, line 19-23).

Consider claim 5, Epstein clearly shows the telephone device, wherein the speaker verifying unit includes: an input voice calculating section that calculates a likelihood of the featured portion of the voice of the call partner on the basis of the featured portion of the vice of each of speakers (col. 7, lines 18-21; fig. 3A, label 120); and a judging section that judges whether the featured portion of the voice of each of speakers coincides with the featured portion of the voice of the call partner, based on a result of the calculation (col. 7, lines 22-23; fig. 3A, label 130).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amal Zenati whose telephone number is (571)270-1947. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Len Tran can be reached on 571- 272- 1184. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571- 571-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Examiner Amal Zenati /Amal Zenati/ Page 6

March 14, 2008

/Len Tran/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 4183